



---

## AGENDA FOR THE ENVIRONMENT AND REGENERATION SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

---

Members of the Environment and Regeneration Scrutiny Committee are summoned to a meeting, which will be held in Committee Room 4, Town Hall, Upper Street, N1 2UD on **5 December 2016 at 7.00 pm.**

**Stephen Gerrard**  
**Director – Law and Governance**

Enquiries to : Zoe Lewis  
Tel : 020 7527 3044  
E-mail : [democracy@islington.gov.uk](mailto:democracy@islington.gov.uk)  
Despatched : 24 November 2016

### Membership

Councillor James Court (Chair)  
Councillor Dave Poyser (Vice-Chair)  
Councillor Raphael Andrews  
Councillor Mouna Hamitouche MBE  
Councillor Gary Heather  
Councillor Clare Jeapes  
Councillor Caroline Russell

### Substitute Members

Councillor Theresa Debono  
Councillor Satnam Gill OBE  
Councillor Alice Perry  
Councillor Angela Picknell

**Quorum is 4 members of the Sub-Committee**



<b>A.</b>	<b>Formal Matters</b>	<b>Pages</b>
1.	Apologies for Absence	
2.	Declarations of Substitute Members	
3.	Declarations of Interest	

If you have a **Disclosable Pecuniary Interest\*** in an item of business:

- if it is not yet on the council's register, you **must** declare both the existence and details of it at the start of the meeting or when it becomes apparent;
- you may **choose** to declare a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest that is already in the register in the interests of openness and transparency.

In both the above cases, you **must** leave the room without participating in discussion of the item.

If you have a **personal** interest in an item of business **and** you intend to speak or vote on the item you **must** declare both the existence and details of it at the start of the meeting or when it becomes apparent but you **may** participate in the discussion and vote on the item.

**\*(a)Employment, etc** - Any employment, office, trade, profession or vocation carried on for profit or gain.

**(b) Sponsorship** - Any payment or other financial benefit in respect of your expenses in carrying out duties as a member, or of your election; including from a trade union.

**(c) Contracts** - Any current contract for goods, services or works, between you or your partner (or a body in which one of you has a beneficial interest) and the council.

**(d) Land** - Any beneficial interest in land which is within the council's area.

**(e) Licences-** Any licence to occupy land in the council's area for a month or longer.

**(f) Corporate tenancies** - Any tenancy between the council and a body in which you or your partner have a beneficial interest.

**(g) Securities** - Any beneficial interest in securities of a body which has a place of business or land in the council's area, if the total nominal value of the securities exceeds £25,000 or one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that body or of any one class of its issued share capital.

This applies to **all** members present at the meeting.

4.	Minutes of Previous Meeting	1 - 6
5.	Public Questions	
6.	Chair's Report	

<b>B.</b>	<b>Items for Decision/Discussion</b>	<b>Pages</b>
1.	Promoting the Use of Electric Vehicles - Presentation	
2.	Regeneration of Retail Areas Scrutiny Review - Introductory Presentation	

3. Fuel Poverty - Referrals to SHINE (Seasonal Health Intervention Network) - Presentation

4. Work Programme

7 - 8

**C. Urgent non-exempt items (if any)**

Any non-exempt items which the Chair agrees should be considered urgent by reason of special circumstances. The reasons for urgency will be agreed by the Chair and recorded in the minutes.

**D. Exclusion of press and public**

To consider whether, in view of the nature of the remaining items on the agenda, it is likely to involve the disclosure of exempt or confidential information within the terms of the Access to Information Procedure Rules in the Constitution and, if so, whether to exclude the press and public during discussion thereof.

**E. Confidential/exempt items**

**F. Urgent exempt items (if any)**

Any exempt items which the Chair agrees should be considered urgently by reason of special circumstances. The reasons for urgency will be agreed by the Chair and recorded in the minutes.

The next meeting of the Environment and Regeneration Scrutiny Committee will be on 23 January  
2017

This page is intentionally left blank

London Borough of Islington

## Environment and Regeneration Scrutiny Committee - 3 November 2016

Minutes of the meeting of the Environment and Regeneration Scrutiny Committee held at Committee Room 4, Town Hall, Upper Street, N1 2UD on 3 November 2016 at 7.00 pm.

**Present:**           **Councillors:**       James Court (Chair), David Poyser (Vice-Chair),  
Mouna Hamitouche, Gary Heather and Clare Jeapes

### Councillor James Court in the Chair

**69**        **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE (Item A1)**

Apologies were received from Councillors Andrews and Russell.

**70**        **DECLARATIONS OF SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS (Item A2)**

There were no substitute members.

**71**        **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Item A3)**

There were no declarations of interest.

**72**        **MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING (Item A4)**

**RESOLVED:**

That the minutes of the meeting held on 20 September 2016 be confirmed as an accurate record of proceedings and the Chair be authorised to sign them.

**73**        **PUBLIC QUESTIONS (Item A5)**

Public questions would be taken during the relevant agenda item.

**74**        **CHAIR'S REPORT (Item A6)**

There was no chair's report.

**75**        **QUARTER 1 PERFORMANCE REPORT - ENVIRONMENT AND REGENERATION (Item B1)**

Kevin O' Leary, Corporate Director, Environment and Regeneration presented the Quarter 1 Performance Report which set out the corporate performance indicator suite for 2016-17, together with a progress update for those indicators related to Environment and Transport over the first quarter of 2016-17.

In the presentation and discussion the following points were made:

- The percentage of household waste recycled and composted had dropped in 2015/16 along with that of all the North London Waste Authority boroughs. This was mainly due to tighter industry-wide regulatory controls of contamination levels. The rate had now recovered by 2 percentage points and it was hoped this could be maintained.
- Officers were satisfied with the figures for dealing promptly with planning applications, promoting and increasing the use of libraries and leisure centres and tackling fuel poverty.
- Ideally the recycling team would collect recycling first and if there was contaminated waste, they should leave this and mark up the bin to advise the residual waste

collectors who would follow on behind and collect. Due to the reliability of the ageing fleet, this did not always happen.

- The number of missed collections was just on target in Quarter 1. However it had worsened in Quarter 2. The Village Principle had taken longer than expected and it was anticipated implementation would take place in February 2017.
- Missed collections were due to ongoing vehicle availability and reliability issues of an ageing fleet.
- The growth in dealing with trade waste would deliver a saving but not as fast as anticipated.
- Under the advertising concession contract, the council had applied for 90 sites and only received permission for 19 sites. This would therefore not result in the anticipated income.
- Ways to increase income from parks was being looked into. Planning and licensing could present challenges.
- A new fleet of waste collection vehicles would enable waste collection services to be regularised.
- Residents were encouraged to recycle. They should be reminded when changes were made to the collection service.
- Islington's garden waste collection rate was one of the best in London.
- The cost of waste disposal would increase in the future so it was important to improve recycling rates.
- Paladin bins would be replaced with chamberlain bins. Palladin bins were no longer being made and there were difficulties moving some of the bins as they had wheel problems. The Environment and Regeneration directorate was working with Housing officers to roll out the bin changes. The new ones would be located in the same place as the existing ones and the bin stores had been measured to ensure they would fit so there should be no impact on residents. Different vehicles were required to pick up the chamberlain bins.
- Members raised concern at targets not being met and were concerned that optimistic budget forecasts were being set. Officers stated that savings had been made and there were risks and income pressures in the department which were different to that of other departments. A note would be provided to the committee which would provide more of an explanation of the figures.

**RESOLVED:**

That the presentation be noted.

**76**

**FLOOD PREPAREDNESS (Item B2)**

Martin Holland, Head of Highways and Energy Services gave a presentation on Flood Preparedness.

In the presentation and discussion the following points were made:

- In drainage terms, Islington was part of the Thames Basin. The origins of the basin went back to the ice age and the consequences had shaped today's drainage arrangements. As the ice sheets receded, meltwater flowed away carrying silts and gravels. What was now the Thames had its origins across the Midlands and had continued to move south to its present position. As the meltwater moved south it created terraces of gravels that allowed springs and wells to develop, such as the Sadlers Wells at Spa Green.
- These springs became the source of streams.
- Such watercourses provided the main discharge for surface water – eventually flowing into the Thames.
- As rural Islington developed, the water course was canalised and fed by ditches and dykes.

- As urban Islington spread, the watercourse had its banks built up until they were piped and now form Thames Water's main storm drains.
- As Storm Culverts replaced the rivers they become combined sewers, taking sewage and surface water.
- All main drainage is the responsibility of Thames Water.
- Other than domestic estate drainage, Islington was only responsible for surface water from the gullies in its streets down to the sewer.
- Any surcharging of the combined sewers would result in flooding by contaminated sewage.
- The Flood and Water Management Act (FWMA) and the Flood Risk Regulations 2009 (FRR) placed a duty upon the London Boroughs to act as the Lead Local Flood Authorities (LLFA). Having carried out initial assessments Islington must now produce a Local Flood Risk Management Strategy (LFRMS).
- Local Strategies for Flood Risk Management had to be consistent with the Environment Agency's National Strategy. A local strategy must cover local flood risk, which was likely to include the 1) surface water flooding; 2) groundwater flooding and 3) flooding from smaller rivers.
- LLFAs were responsible for developing and applying their local strategy and other risk management authorities must act consistently with the local strategy.
- The other partners in delivering the local strategy would include: 1) the Environment Agency; 2) neighbouring authorities; 3) Thames Water and 4) Transport for London (as a Highways Authority).
- The Local Strategy must contain: 1) the risk management authorities in the authority's area; 2) the flood and coastal erosion risk management functions that may be exercised by those authorities in relation to the area; 3) the objectives for managing local flood risk; 4) the measures proposed to achieve those objectives; 5) how and when the measures were expected to be implemented; 6) the costs and benefits of those measures and how they were to be paid for; 7) the assessment of local flood risk for the purpose of the strategy; 8) how and when the strategy was to be reviewed and 9) how the strategy contributed to the achievement of wider environmental objectives.
- The strategy would be produced in conjunction with inputs from council officers (through workshops at the council offices and input through the development of the draft local strategy), representatives from risk management authorities and local stakeholders i.e. the Environment Agency, Thames Water, neighbouring LLFAs, Network Rail, Transport for London, the London Fire Brigade and residents, businesses and other stakeholders through formal consultations.
- The council had commissioned consultants to provide the strategy. The draft would be circulated in the next few weeks and subject to Executive member approval would be released for public consultation in early 2017.
- Additional modelling could be required for specific areas of the borough.
- There was very little history of surface water flooding in Islington.
- Initial assessments showed that the routes of the old rivers had a higher probability of flooding along with hilly areas where there were velocity surcharges.
- Former marshes like Tufnell Park did suffer from flooding during the 1950s but this was rectified by the construction of new storm culverts and the installation of anti-flood valves on the drainage outlets. However, the significant flooding events in Islington had been the result of burst water mains.
- The events that had historically caused flooding in Islington were not covered by the regulations which stated that a flood did not include a) a flood from any part of a sewerage system, unless wholly or partly caused by an increase in the volume of rainwater (including snow and other precipitation) entering or otherwise affecting the system, or b) a flood caused by a burst water main.

- Going forward the council would be involved with 1) preparing and maintaining a strategy for local flood risk management; 2) maintaining a register of assets vulnerable to flooding; 3) investigating significant local flooding incidents and publishing the results of such investigations; 4) establishing approval bodies for design, building and operation of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS); 5) issuing consents for altering, removing or replacing certain structures or features on ordinary watercourses; 6) playing a lead role in emergency planning and recovery after a flood event.
- The officer confirmed that the local authority could do little to prevent subsidence other than by keeping the drainage efficient.
- The council now had a basement policy. Basements were at a greater risk of flooding.
- The council worked closely with utility companies. If a pipe burst, it was their responsibility. If there was a flash flood, Islington's drainage should be able to cope to a certain extent. However water could build up if the drains the water fed into could not take the water away quickly enough.
- Street Environment Services emptied gullies. If it was not possible to clear a particular drain, Highways would be contacted and a camera would be put into the drain to investigate the problem. If it was caused by a Thames Water drain problem, they would be asked to clear the drain.
- Thames Water were carrying out Victorian water main replacement and had reduced the water pressure to try and stop leaks. Water now had to be pumped to the top of tower blocks due to the pressure reduction.
- The areas in Islington most likely to flood were the Gospel Oak to Barking Line, the North London Line, the tube line and the canal. Finsbury Park station was also at risk. The London Underground managed the Finsbury Park flood risk.
- In Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) water would either be contained, went into gardens or into culverts from which it would be released once the drains had capacity.
- In response to a question from a member of the public as to why when the council had been funded since 2011 to produce the local strategy had it taken until now to produce, the officer advised that this had not been prioritised by the council. However the council had done work to collate and understand how the drainage worked. The strategy would be published by 2017 in line with the requirements.
- In response to a question from a member of the public about how the council was working with partners and other local authorities, the officer advised that the council worked with Drain London which led a partnership of London boroughs and other partners. Meetings were held every nine months.
- In response to a question from a member of the public about the risk from reservoirs in Hackney, the officer advised that the two reservoirs in the north had been drained and were empty, the one in Dartmouth Park Hill had been reduced and the officer was unclear whether the one at Pentonville Road at Amwell Road was still in use but enquiries could be made with TfL and the information published.
- In response to a question from a member of the public, the officer stated that although no climate change modelling had been undertaken by the council, it had been undertaken by others.
- The officer confirmed that there was currently information on the website about the council's flood preparedness.

**RESOLVED:**

That the presentation be noted.

**77**      **WORK PROGRAMME (Item B3)**

**RESOLVED:**

That the work programme be updated prior to the next meeting.

The meeting ended at 8.30 pm

**CHAIR**

This page is intentionally left blank

**DRAFT WORK PROGRAMME  
ENVIRONMENT AND REGENERATION SCRUTINY COMMITTEE  
2016/17**

**23 January 2017**

- 1) Regeneration of Retail Areas – Witness Evidence
- 2) Performance Indicator Report – Quarter 2
- 3) The progress of the installation of PV solar panels on council buildings presentation
- 4) Work Programme

**9 March 2017**

- 1) Regeneration of Retail Areas – Witness Evidence
- 2) Community Energy report back
- 3) Presentation on the use of barbecues in public open spaces
- 4) Work Programme

**25 April 2017**

- 1) Executive Member Annual Report
- 2) Performance Indicator Report – Quarter 3
- 3) Regeneration of Retail Areas – Draft Recommendations
- 4) Work Programme

**25 May 2017**

- 1) Work Programme
- 2) Regeneration of Retail Areas – Final Report
- 3) CCTV scrutiny review – 12 month report back

**Visits To Be Undertaken During The Year**

- 1) Gillespie Park – In Spring 2017
- 2) Bunhill Heat and Power Energy Centre – 3pm on 25 November 2016

**Topics To Be Considered For The Allocation of Committee Time During The Year Subject To The Progress Of The Work Programme**

- 1) The Mayor of London's 'Energy for Londoner' proposals
- 2) The Ultra-Low Emission Zone consultation

This page is intentionally left blank